

Omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acid intakes and endometrial cancer risk in a population-based case–control study

Hannah Arem · Marian L. Neuhouser · Melinda L. Irwin · Brenda Cartmel ·
Lingeng Lu · Harvey Risch · Susan T. Mayne · Herbert Yu

Received: 16 May 2012 / Accepted: 6 August 2012 / Published online: 23 August 2012
© Springer-Verlag 2012

Abstract

Purpose Animal and laboratory studies suggest that long-chain omega-3 (n-3) fatty acids, a type of polyunsaturated fat found in fatty fish, may protect against carcinogenesis, but human studies on dietary intake of polyunsaturated fats and fish with endometrial cancer risk show mixed results.

Methods We evaluated the associations between endometrial cancer risk and intake of fatty acids and fish in a population-based sample of 556 incident cancer cases and 533 age-matched controls using multivariate unconditional logistic regression methods.

Results Although total n-3 fatty acid intake was not associated with endometrial cancer risk, higher intakes of eicosapentaenoic (EPA 20:5) and docosahexaenoic (DHA 22:6) fatty acids were significantly associated with lower risks (OR = 0.57, 95 % CI: 0.39–0.84; OR = 0.64, 95 % CI: 0.44–0.94; respectively) comparing extreme quartiles. The ratio of n-3:n-6 fatty acids was inversely associated

with risk only on a continuous scale (OR = 0.84, 95 % CI: 0.71–0.99), while total fish intake was not associated with risk. Fish oil supplement use was significantly associated with reduced risk of endometrial cancer: OR = 0.63 (95 % CI: 0.45–0.88).

Conclusions Our results suggest that dietary intake of the long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids EPA and DHA in foods and supplements may have protective associations against the development of endometrial cancer.

Keywords Endometrial cancer · Fatty acids · Fish oil · Fish · Case–control study

Introduction

It is estimated that in the United States, 47,000 women will be diagnosed with endometrial (uterine corpus) cancer in 2012, making it the 4th most commonly occurring cancer among women [1]. Many known risk factors for the disease are hormone-related such as postmenopausal hormone replacement, ovarian dysfunction, infertility, and tamoxifen use; protective factors include higher parity and oral contraceptive use [2, 3]. Other risk factors include obesity, type II diabetes and low physical activity [4, 5]. Previous publications show that parity, oral contraception, body mass index (BMI), physical activity and diet may explain up to 80 % of the risk of endometrial cancer, emphasizing the importance of lifestyle modification for prevention of this disease [6].

Laboratory and animal studies have shown that long-chain omega-3 (n-3) polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, 20:5) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 22:6), inhibit tumorigenesis for various cancer sites [7–10]. Omega-6 PUFAs such as arachidonic acid

H. Arem (✉) · L. Lu
Yale School of Public Health, 60 College Street,
P.O. Box 208034, New Haven, CT 06520, USA
e-mail: hannah.arem@yale.edu

M. L. Neuhouser
Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle,
WA 98109, USA

M. L. Irwin · B. Cartmel · H. Risch · S. T. Mayne · H. Yu
Yale School of Public Health, School of Medicine,
60 College Street, P.O. Box 208034, New Haven,
CT 06520, USA

M. L. Irwin · B. Cartmel · H. Risch · S. T. Mayne
Yale Cancer Center, New Haven, CT 06520, USA

H. Yu
University of Hawaii Cancer Center, Honolulu, HI, USA

(20:4), on the other hand, have been shown to promote tumor growth [11] and have pro-inflammatory effects in rats [12]. Given their opposing effects, the ratio of n-3 to n-6 PUFAs has long been hypothesized to be important in carcinogenesis [12, 13].

Epidemiological evidence on the association of n-3 fatty acid intake with endometrial cancer risk is limited. The major dietary source of long-chain n-3 fatty acid intake, fatty fish, has been evaluated in relation to cancer outcomes in studies that do not otherwise isolate individual fatty acids. Of two relevant cohort studies, one reported an increased risk of endometrial cancer associated with consumption of fish and processed meat together, but did not separate fish consumption or assess an association with polyunsaturated dietary fat [14]. The other cohort study showed no association between polyunsaturated fat, total n-3 fatty acids, and endometrial cancer risk, but did not analyze fish intake [15]. Seven case–control studies [16–21] and a case–cohort study [22] found no association between total fish intake and endometrial cancer, while two case–control studies, both from China, reported an increased risk associated with higher freshwater fish consumption [23, 24] and another suggested an inverse association between consumption of fatty fish (typically found in marine, saltwater environments) and endometrial cancer risk [25]. Multiple case–control studies [16, 19, 26, 27] and one cohort study [15] reported no association between polyunsaturated fat intake and endometrial cancer risk but did not separately analyze n-3 or n-6 fatty acids.

Although the published literature suggests no association between total polyunsaturated fat intake and endometrial cancer, no previous study, to our knowledge, has comprehensively examined intake of individual n-3 and n-6 fatty acids, the n-3:n-6 ratio, and intake from supplements and food sources in relation to endometrial cancer risk. The principal aim of this study was to examine the independent associations of these fatty acid sources with endometrial cancer risk.

Materials and methods

Study design

A population-based case–control study was conducted in Connecticut, involving English-speaking residents aged 35–81 years who were diagnosed with incident, primary endometrial cancer between October 2004 and September 2008. Study design and eligibility have been described elsewhere [5]. Of the 1,216 potentially eligible patients identified statewide through the Rapid Case Ascertainment Shared Resource of the Yale Cancer Center, 317 chose not to participate, 19 had died before study contact, 13 were too ill,

44 could not be located, 68 could not be reached by telephone and 87 were ineligible. Among 1,995 Connecticut women in the eligible age range identified as potential controls through random digit dialing, 1,447 agreed to further contact for participation and 1,248 were contacted, while 111 were ineligible due to residence, mental impairment, language barrier, cancer diagnosis or ineligible medical conditions. Another 92 women were disqualified due to illness or residence outside of Connecticut, and 371 refused to participate. Research staff enrolled 668 (54.9 %) of diagnosed endometrial cancer cases and 674 (64.5 %) of contacted, eligible controls. In person interviews were carried out at participant homes. After completion of signed informed consent, study staff administered structured questionnaires on ethnic and demographic factors, environmental exposures and lifestyle factors. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Yale University, the Connecticut Department of Public Health Human Investigation Committee and the 28 participating Connecticut hospitals.

Exposure assessment

Diet was assessed using a self-administered 120-item food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) from the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center. This FFQ was modified from the Women's Health Initiative FFQ, and was validated against 4-day food records and 24-h dietary recalls with published measurement characteristics [28]. Participants completed the mailed questionnaire, which was reviewed by research staff during the home visit. The FFQ inquired about the frequency and portion size of various foods based on estimated usual intake over the previous 1–5 years and >19 adjustment questions queried about types and quantities of fat used in cooking and at the table. These responses were applied to analysis algorithms to normalize calculated fat intakes. Participants were specifically asked about consumption of dark fish (such as salmon, mackerel or bluefish), white fish (such as sole, halibut, snapper or cod), shellfish (such as shrimp, lobster, crab or oysters) and fried fish on separate line items. Also, they were asked whether they took fish oil, omega-3 or cod liver oil in the 1–5 years prior and if so, they were asked to choose a category for frequency of supplementation (<1/week, 1–2 days/week, 3–4 days/week, 5–6 days/week, 7 days/week). The primary nutrient density database for this FFQ was derived from the Nutrition Data Systems for Research (NDS-R, version 2008, Nutrition Coordinating Center, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN) and has been augmented with information from manufacturers. Cases were asked to recall average diet in the period 1–5 years prior to diagnosis so as to minimize dietary changes occurring because of disease; controls were asked to recall average diet 1–5 years prior to interview.

Statistical analysis

Control women who had a hysterectomy ($n = 6$) or were outside the specified age range ($n = 3$) were excluded from analyses. We excluded study subjects who missed >10 items on the FFQ ($n = 118$ cases and 89 controls) as these FFQs were considered unreliable. We also removed subjects whose calculated energy intake was less than 600 ($n = 28$) or above 5,000 kcal per day ($n = 9$) on the FFQs as these cutoffs have been used in similar populations to eliminate subjects whose FFQ information is believed to be inaccurate or unrepresentative of usual diet [29, 30]. Our final analytic sample size was 556 cases and 533 controls. We performed descriptive analyses using the t-distribution for continuous variables and Chi-squared distribution for categorical variables. Total n-6 fatty acids included linoleic acid (18:2) and arachidonic acid (20:4). n-3 fatty acids were summed as the total of linolenic acid (18:3), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, 20:5) docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 22:6), and docosapentaenoic acid (22:5). Individual fatty acids were divided into quartiles based on intake among controls. Total fish consumption was calculated as the sum of reported fried, dark, white and shellfish weekly servings. Because fish consumption in our study population was low for specific types of fish, we analyzed fried, dark, white and shellfish individually into categories of ever (>0 servings/year) or never (0 servings/year).

We examined the correlation between individual and grouped fatty acids and report selected Spearman correlation coefficients as follows: total n-6 PUFAs and linoleic acid = 0.99, DHA and EPA = 0.95, docosapentaenoic acid and DHA = 0.91, docosapentaenoic acid and EPA = 0.95. Given the high correlations between docosapentaenoic acid and the long-chain fatty acids DHA and EPA, very low absolute intake of docosapentaenoic acid and an insufficient body of evidence supporting a role for docosapentaenoic acid in carcinogenesis, docosapentaenoic acid was not analyzed for its main effect, but rather included in total n-3 fatty acids.

To account for differences in fatty acid intake due to differences in total energy we used the multivariate nutrient density method, dividing fatty acid intake by total energy and multiplying by 1,000 [31]. After assessing differences in quartiles using the log rank test, to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) we built logistic regression models. All variables in Table 1 were examined for possible confounding. We retained variables significant at the two-sided $p = 0.05$ level, those that caused a >10 % change in odds ratio estimates and variables that were selected a priori to be included in the model based on previously observed associations with risk. For final statistical models, we included adjustment for age at interview (continuous), race (white or other), body mass index

(continuous), number of live births (continuous), menopausal status (yes/no), oral contraceptive use (ever/never), smoking category (never, former, current), and physician-diagnosed hypertension (yes/no). Education, diabetes, vegetable consumption and physical activity levels were considered but were not included in the multivariate-adjusted models because adding these variables to the models did not change parameter estimates by >10 %. We performed linear trend tests by assigning values of 1–4 for each quartile and treating the ordinal variable as continuous. To maximize power, we also created continuous models scaling the exposure of interest by the interquartile range.

Results

Characteristics of cases and controls are shown in Table 1. On average, cases reported higher baseline BMI ($p < 0.001$), less physical activity in the past 2–5 years ($p < 0.001$), higher frequency of physician-diagnosed hypertension ($p < 0.001$) and diabetes ($p = 0.002$), and were more likely to be postmenopausal ($p = 0.039$) and report a family history of endometrial cancer ($p = 0.026$) than controls. Compared with controls, a lower percentage of cases completed 12 or more years of education ($p = 0.015$), drank alcohol ($p < 0.001$) or used hormone therapy ($p = 0.003$). Fewer cases reported ever being pregnant ($p < 0.001$); cases also reported fewer live births ($p < 0.001$) and younger age at first pregnancy ($p < 0.001$).

Absolute intakes of individual n-3 and n-6 fatty acids are shown in Table 2. Cases reported lower intake of long-chain n-3 fatty acids EPA and DHA compared with controls ($p = 0.022$ and $p = 0.005$, respectively). Absolute intakes of these long-chain fatty acids were a small percentage of total n-3 fatty acid intake, which was dominated by linolenic acid. Linoleic acid accounted for most of the absolute intake for total n-6 fatty acids, with arachidonic acid contributing only a small fraction of total intake.

Total n-3 and n-6 fatty acids were not associated with risk of endometrial cancer comparing the highest to lowest quartiles (Table 3). Of the n-6 fatty acids, neither linoleic acid nor arachidonic acid intakes were associated with endometrial cancer risk comparing extreme intake quartiles. Of the n-3 fatty acids, higher intakes of the long-chain marine fatty acids EPA and DHA were associated with a reduced risk of endometrial cancer. After adjusting for confounding factors, EPA showed an inverse association with endometrial cancer risk, with an OR = 0.57 (95 % CI: 0.39–0.84) comparing the highest quartile of intake to the lowest. Intake of DHA was also associated with a reduced risk of endometrial cancer comparing extreme quartiles (OR = 0.64, 95 % CI: 0.44–0.94). Because of the

Table 1 Description of the sample by case–control status

	Cases (<i>N</i> = 556)	Controls (<i>N</i> = 533)	<i>P</i> ^c
Demographic and lifestyle factors			
Age ^a	60.80 (9.39)	61.76 (10.93)	0.122 ^d
Race ^b			0.222
White	514 (92.45)	503 (94.37)	
Other	42 (7.55)	29 (5.44)	
Education ^b			0.015
≤12 years	187 (33.63)	143 (26.83)	
>12 years	369 (66.37)	390 (73.17)	
Body mass index (kg/m ²) ^a	32.33 (8.51)	27.15 (6.26)	<0.001
Smoking status ^b			0.080
Never smoker	308 (55.40)	262 (49.16)	
Ever smoker	248 (44.60)	271 (50.84)	
Ever drank alcohol regularly ^b	264 (47.74)	312 (58.54)	<0.001
Physical activity hours per week ^a	5.48 (10.69)	8.41 (12.54)	<0.001
Sit hours per week ^a	39.14 (20.32)	44.93 (20.54)	<0.001
Calories per day ^a	1,749 (720)	1,690 (637)	0.149
Polyunsaturated fat (g/day) ^a	14.31 (9.39)	13.71 (7.25)	0.177
Reported fish oil supplement usage (ever) ^b	85 (15.34)	126 (23.64)	0.001
Reproductive factors			
Number of live births ^a	1.79 (1.36)	2.19 (1.30)	<0.001
Ever Gravid ^b	439 (78.96)	474 (88.93)	<0.001
Age at first pregnancy ^a	23.56 (4.73)	24.73 (4.91)	<0.001
Menopausal status ^b			0.039
Premenopausal	75 (13.49)	100 (18.76)	
Postmenopausal	480 (86.33)	433 (81.24)	
Oral contraceptive use (ever) ^b	323 (58.09)	345 (64.73)	0.079
Menopausal hormone use among postmenopausal women (ever) ^b	149 (31.04)	181 (41.80.71)	0.003
Menarche age ^b			0.108
<12 years	151 (27.16)	116 (21.76)	
≥12 years	401 (72.12)	414 (77.67)	
Medical history			
Family history (yes/no 1st degree relative with endometrial cancer) ^b	39 (7.01)	21 (3.94)	0.026
MD diagnosis of hypertension (ever) ^b	312 (56.12)	198 (37.36)	<0.001
MD diagnosis of diabetes (ever) ^b	108 (19.42)	66 (12.38)	0.002

^a Table values are mean (SD) for continuous variables

^b Table values are n (column %) for categorical variables

^c *P* value is for *t* test (continuous variables) or χ^2 test (categorical variables)

^d Matched by design

wide range of ages at diagnosis we stratified by median age at diagnosis (61.3 years), and observed a stronger, statistically significant association for EPA and DHA for older women and an attenuated, non-significant association for younger women (data not shown). There was no association between the ratio of n-3:n-6 fatty acids when comparing quartiles, but on a continuous scale a nominally significant protective association was observed (OR = 0.84, 95 % CI: 0.71–0.99).

As fish is a major contributor to dietary intakes of long-chain n-3 fatty acids, we also examined the association between fish consumption and the risk of endometrial cancer (Table 4). There was a suggested, but not significant, inverse

association between fish intake and endometrial cancer risk (OR = 0.74, 95 % CI: 0.50–1.10). Although also not statistically significant, higher fried fish consumption appeared to be associated with increased endometrial cancer, while dark fish consumption was non-significantly inversely associated with risk. Shellfish and white fish consumption were not associated with risk.

Of the 1,089 women in this study, 85 cases (15.34 %) and 126 controls (23.64 %) reported use of fish oil, n-3, or cod liver oil supplements. Women who consumed fish oil supplements had a lower BMI, reported higher physical activity levels, lower polyunsaturated fat intake and were more likely to have used hormone therapy and been

Table 2 Individual fatty acid intake (grams) by case–control status

	Cases (<i>N</i> = 556)	Controls (<i>N</i> = 533)	<i>P</i> value ^a
Total n-6 fatty acids	12.67 (6.62)	12.09 (6.56)	0.105
Linoleic, 18:2	12.56 (6.58)	11.97 (6.52)	0.102
Arachidonic, 20:4	0.12 (0.07)	0.12 (0.08)	0.906
Total n-3 fatty acids	1.57 (0.81)	1.55 (0.81)	0.790
Linolenic, 18:3	1.36 (0.72)	1.30 (0.68)	0.075
Eicosapentaenoic, 20:5	0.06 (0.06)	0.07 (0.10)	0.022
Docosapentaenoic, 22:5	0.02 (0.02)	0.03 (0.04)	0.022
Docosahexaenoic, 22:6	0.12 (0.15)	0.15 (0.24)	0.005

Table values are mean (SD)

^a *P* values calculated using the Wilcoxon rank sum test

pregnant. Women who reported supplemental fish oil intake had a reduced risk of endometrial cancer (OR = 0.63, 95 % CI: 0.45–0.88) compared with women who reported no supplement use (Table 5). Adding EPA or DHA intake from food to the model yielded similar results (data not shown). To determine whether the association with supplementation differed by EPA or DHA intake, we performed analyses of fish oil supplementation stratified by dietary intake, using the energy adjusted median among controls as the cutpoint. The inverse association between fish oil supplement use and endometrial cancer was most pronounced among women consuming less than or equal to the median DHA intake (OR = 0.52, 95 % CI: 0.31–0.87). For women consuming greater than the median intake of DHA, the OR for supplemental fish oil use was 0.74 (95 % CI 0.47–1.16). For those consuming less than the median EPA intake, the OR for supplement use was 0.60 (95 % CI: 0.36–0.98), as compared with 0.67 (95 % CI: 0.43–1.06) for women consuming greater than the median EPA intake.

Discussion

In this population-based case–control study, we observed an association between higher intake of the n-3 fatty acids EPA and DHA and a reduced risk of endometrial cancer. No association was observed for total n-3 fatty acid intake, likely because EPA and DHA comprised only a small fraction of the total n-3 fatty acid intake. Total n-6 fatty acid intake and individual n-6 fatty acid intakes were not associated with endometrial cancer incidence in our study population, while higher fish intake, particularly for dark fish, appeared to be protective. We also observed inverse associations for fish oil supplement use and the ratio of n-3:n-6 fatty acids (on a continuous scale) with endometrial cancer. Fish oil supplement use was associated with a lower risk particularly among those who reported less than the median EPA or DHA intake.

Studies on polyunsaturated fats and endometrial cancer risk show mixed results, and most studies of diet and endometrial cancer assess fat subcategories without examining

individual fatty acids. Evidence from cohort studies on polyunsaturated fats and risk of endometrial cancer is limited, and to our review, do not evaluate n-3 fatty acids [15, 32]. Both hospital-based [26] and population-based [16, 33] case–control studies showed no association for polyunsaturated fat, but case numbers were low and they did not comprehensively evaluate n-3 individual fatty acids (DHA and EPA were not examined). A multiethnic population-based case–control study in Hawaii reported an increased risk of endometrial cancer with higher total polyunsaturated fat consumption (OR = 1.5 comparing extreme quartiles, 95 % CI: not reported).

Although for individuals who consume fish, intake of long-chain n-3 fatty acids is well captured by fish intake, some studies show that up to 20 % of long-chain n-3 fatty acid intake comes from meat [34]. The 18 carbon linolenic acid (a precursor to EPA) is found in cereal-based products, meats, dark green leafy vegetables, canola oil, flaxseed, nuts, and soybeans; however, conversion of medium chain linolenic acid to longer chain fatty acids is inefficient in the human body [35]. For individuals who do not consume fish, DHA intake largely comes from eggs and meat sources. Thus, studies looking exclusively at fish capture much but not all of the intake of long-chain n-3 fatty acids. Although we did not observe a statistically significant association between total weekly fish intake and endometrial cancer risk, there was a suggested inverse association, particularly for dark fish consumption.

While few cohort studies report on fish intake and endometrial cancer risk, the Iowa Women's Health study showed an increased risk with all seafood intake (RR = 1.4, 95 % CI: not reported) and with a combined measure of fish and processed meat (RR = 1.5, 95 % CI: not reported [14]). The authors did not describe foods included in each of these categories and also did not adjust for BMI, which may attenuate the observed RR. A random effects meta-analysis of seven case–control studies showed no association between fish intake and endometrial cancer risk (OR = 1.04, 95 % CI: 0.55–1.98) but did not separate types of fish and reported high study heterogeneity [36]. After excluding three studies that did not adjust for energy

Table 3 Energy and multivariate-adjusted odds ratios^a and 95 % confidence intervals for n-3 and n-6 fatty acids and risk of endometrial cancer

Dietary variable ^b	Quartile I	Quartile II	Quartile III	Quartile IV	<i>P</i> _{trend}	Continuous ^c
n-6 Polyunsaturated fatty acids, total						
N (cases/controls)	104/134	170/133	135/133	147/133		
Energy- and age-adjusted OR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.61 (1.14–2.26)	1.26 (0.89–1.80)	1.36 (0.96–1.94)	0.298	1.09 (0.94–1.28)
Multivariate-adjusted OR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.52 (1.05–2.21)	1.15 (0.78–1.69)	1.28 (0.87–1.89)	0.577	1.06 (0.89–1.26)
Linoleic acid, 18:2						
N (cases/controls)	105/134	167/133	138/132	146/134		
Energy- and age-adjusted OR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.56 (1.11–2.20)	1.29 (0.91–1.83)	1.33 (0.94–1.89)	0.312	1.10 (0.94–1.28)
Multivariate-adjusted OR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.47 (1.01–2.13)	1.17 (0.80–1.71)	1.24 (0.85–1.83)	0.592	1.07 (0.90–1.27)
Arachidonic acid, 20:4						
N (cases/controls)	139/133	162/133	131/133	124/133		
Energy- and age-adjusted OR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.14 (0.82–1.59)	0.93 (0.66–1.31)	0.89 (0.63–1.25)	0.315	0.93 (0.81–1.06)
Multivariate-adjusted OR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.05 (0.73–1.51)	0.76 (0.53–1.11)	0.74 (0.51–1.07)	0.040	0.87 (0.75–1.02)
n-3 Polyunsaturated fatty acids, total						
N (cases/controls)	155/133	130/133	147/134	124/133		
Energy- and age-adjusted OR (95 % CI)	1.00	0.83 (0.59–1.17)	0.94 (0.67–1.31)	0.80 (0.57–1.12)	0.317	0.93 (0.81–1.08)
Multivariate-adjusted OR (95 % CI)	1.00	0.79 (0.55–1.13)	1.02 (0.71–1.46)	0.75 (0.52–1.09)	0.324	0.92 (0.79–1.08)
Linolenic acid, 18:3						
N (cases/controls)	129/133	139/134	148/132	133/133		
Energy- and age-adjusted OR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.10 (0.78–1.54)	1.13 (0.81–1.59)	1.01 (0.72–1.42)	0.911	1.06 (0.90–1.24)
Multivariate-adjusted OR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.01 (0.70–1.46)	1.08 (0.75–1.56)	0.91 (0.63–1.32)	0.733	1.02 (0.86–1.21)
Eicosapentaenoic acid, 20:5						
N (cases/controls)	161/134	148/132	163/133	84/134		
Energy- and age-adjusted OR (95 % CI)	1.00	0.94 (0.67–1.30)	1.04 (0.75–1.45)	0.54 (0.38–0.77)	0.006	0.78 (0.67–0.91)
Multivariate-adjusted OR (95 % CI)	1.00	0.81 (0.57–1.16)	0.98 (0.69–1.40)	0.57 (0.39–0.84)	0.026	0.80 (0.67–0.95)
Docosahexaenoic acid, 22:6						
N (cases/controls)	170/133	139/134	150/132	97/134		
Energy- and age-adjusted OR (95 % CI)	1.00	0.81 (0.58–1.13)	0.91 (0.66–1.26)	0.59 (0.41–0.83)	0.010	0.83 (0.72–0.96)
Multivariate-adjusted OR (95 % CI)	1.00	0.80 (0.56–1.15)	0.83 (0.58–1.19)	0.64 (0.44–0.94)	0.036	0.85 (0.73–0.997)
Ratio of n-3 to n-6 fatty acids						
N (cases/controls)	142/133	172/134	142/132	100/134		
Energy- and age-adjusted OR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.23 (0.89–1.71)	1.04 (0.74–1.46)	0.74 (0.52–1.05)	0.069	0.81 (0.70–0.95)
Multivariate-adjusted OR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.15 (0.81–1.65)	0.99 (0.69–1.44)	0.79 (0.53–1.17)	0.180	0.84 (0.71–0.99)

^a Multivariate models were adjusted for energy consumption (continuous), age (continuous), body mass index (continuous), number of live births (continuous), menopausal status (pre/post), oral contraceptive use (ever/never), hypertension (yes/no), smoking status (never/former/current), and race/ethnicity

^b Quartiles were divided based on quartiles of intake among controls

^c Continuous model scaled by the interquartile range

the OR = 1.88 (95 % CI: 1.20–2.98). Two of the included studies [23, 24] were from China, where median consumption levels of fish (approximately 3 servings per week) were much higher than levels consumed in our study population (approximately 1 serving per week). In China, fish is often consumed as salted, dried fish containing N-nitrosamines, which are known carcinogens, or prepared using deep frying methods, which may lead to formation of mutagens and carcinogens. Although a study on animal food intake and preparation methods in the Chinese population showed no association for cooking methods and

doneness levels for fish in relation to endometrial cancer risk [23], salted, dried fish were not analyzed separately. Also, while both China-based studies reported on educational achievement and adjusted where necessary, it is possible that there is residual confounding by socio-economic status comparing women who consume fish and women who do not. In contrast to (and not included in) the pooled findings, a Swedish population-based case-control study showed a protective association with higher fatty fish intake, reporting an odds ratio of 0.6 (95 % CI: 0.5–0.8) comparing those who consumed 2.0 servings per week

and in turn the overproduction of free radicals and carcinogenesis [44]. Lastly, studies in rats [45, 46] and in patients with type 2-diabetes [47] suggest that n-3 PUFAs may impact insulin sensitivity and cell membrane fluidity, protecting against carcinogenesis.

Strengths of our study include a greater number of cases than in previous case-control studies of endometrial cancer, comprehensive information collected on various measures of n-3 fatty acid consumption via 120 items on the FFQ, including fish, and a separate question on fish oil consumption, allowing us to assess n-3 fatty acid intake using multiple approaches. All questionnaires were reviewed in person with participants by interviewers, affording better quality control and the potential to review accuracy of information. An established limitation of the case-control design is that lifestyle factors may be subject to recall bias. For the FFQ, we queried on consumption during the 1–5 years before diagnosis among cases to lessen the potential effect of latent disease on diet. Recently published studies measuring n-3 and n-6 fatty acid content of fish commonly consumed in the United States show considerable variability in n-3 fatty acid levels and in the ratio of n-3:n-6 fatty acids, explaining that geographic location, fish diet, seasonal variations, and environmental factors such as temperature, salinity, and the depth of the general marine habitat affect marine fat composition [12, 48]. Thus, imprecision in nutrient databases likely leads to some measurement error, whereby fish intake does not translate into accurate estimates of long-chain n-3 PUFA consumption. Also, types and farming conditions of commonly consumed fish in China may differ from fish consumed in Europe or in the United States, creating difficulties in comparing health effects of fish consumption across populations. Our evaluation of fish was limited by relatively low consumption levels and lack of range of intake for specific fish types, allowing only a comparison of ever versus never consumption. In addition, while we had information on frequency of fish oil supplement use, we did not have power to more finely assess the association of frequency of supplement use with endometrial cancer risk because nearly all women who took supplements reported daily consumption. We did not have information on supplemental EPA and DHA doses and thus could not calculate total EPA or DHA from both supplemental and dietary intakes. Finally, ninety-three percent of our study population was non-Hispanic white and conclusions and inferences may be more relevant for this population.

In conclusion, our study suggests an inverse association between long-chain dietary n-3 fatty acids EPA and DHA and fish oil supplement use with risk of endometrial cancer. Medium chain n-3 linolenic acid was not associated with risk, suggesting that shorter and longer chain n-3 fatty acids

may play different roles in endometrial cancer carcinogenesis. Future studies should further explore associations with intake of specific fatty acids, food sources, and blood and tissue biomarkers to understand better the associations between these fatty acids and endometrial cancer risk.

Acknowledgments HA was supported in part by NIH doctoral training grant T32 CA105666. MN was supported by P30 CA15704. The Connecticut Endometrial Cancer Study was supported by NIH research grant 5R01 CA098346 (to HY). The cooperation of 28 Connecticut hospitals, including Charlotte Hungerford Hospital, Bridgeport Hospital, Danbury Hospital, Hartford Hospital, Middlesex Hospital, New Britain General Hospital, Bradley Memorial Hospital, Yale/New Haven Hospital, St. Francis Hospital and Medical Center, St. Mary's Hospital, Hospital of St. Raphael, St. Vincent's Medical Center, Stamford Hospital, William W. Backus Hospital, Windham Hospital, Eastern Connecticut Health Network, Griffin Hospital, Bristol Hospital, Johnson Memorial Hospital, Day Kimball Hospital, Greenwich Hospital, Lawrence and Memorial Hospital, Milford Hospital, New Milford Hospital, Norwalk Hospital, MidState Medical Center, John Dempsey Hospital and Waterbury Hospital, in allowing patient access, is gratefully acknowledged. This study was approved by the State of Connecticut Department of Public Health Human Investigation Committee. Certain data used in this study were obtained from the Connecticut Tumor Registry in the Connecticut Department of Public Health. The authors assume full responsibility for analyses and interpretation of these data. The authors want especially to thank Rajni Mehta for her support in case identification through Rapid Case Ascertainment, Helen Sayward for her effort in conducting the study, Ellen Anderson, Donna Bowers, Renee Capasso, Kristin DeFrancesco, Anna Florczak, and Sherry Rowland for their assistance in recruiting and interviewing study participants, and Na Ni for her help in SAS programming.

References

1. American Cancer Society (2012) Cancer facts & figures 2012. American Cancer Society, Atlanta
2. Akhmedkhanov A, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Toniolo P (2001) Role of exogenous and endogenous hormones in endometrial cancer. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 943:296–315. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2001.tb03811.x
3. Purdie DM, Green AC (2001) Epidemiology of endometrial cancer. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 15:341–354. doi:10.1053/beog.2000.0180
4. Fader AN, Arriba LN, Frasure HE, von Gruenigen VE (2009) Endometrial cancer and obesity: epidemiology, biomarkers, prevention and survivorship. *Gynecol Oncol* 114:121–127
5. Arem H, Irwin ML, Zhou Y, Lu L, Risch H, Yu H (2010) Physical activity and endometrial cancer in a population-based case-control study. *Cancer Causes Control* 22:219–226. doi:10.1007/s10552-010-9689-0
6. Terry P, Baron JA, Weiderpass E, Yuen J, Lichtenstein P, Nyren O (1999) Lifestyle and endometrial cancer risk: a cohort study from the Swedish twin registry. *Int J Cancer* 82:38–42
7. Karmali RA, Marsh J, Fuchs C (1984) Effect of omega-3 fatty acids on growth of a rat mammary tumor. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 73:457–461
8. Lindner MA (1991) A fish oil diet inhibits colon cancer in mice. *Nutr Cancer* 15:1–11. doi:10.1080/01635589109514105
9. Tsai WS, Nagawa H, Kaizaki S, Tsuruo T, Muto T (1998) Inhibitory effects of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids on sigmoid colon cancer transformants. *J Gastroenterol* 33:206–212

10. Boudreau MD, Sohn KH, Rhee SH, Lee SW, Hunt JD, Hwang DH (2001) Suppression of tumor cell growth both in nude mice and in culture by n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids: mediation through cyclooxygenase-independent pathways. *Cancer Res* 61:1386
11. Rose DP, Connolly JM, Meschter CL (1991) Effect of dietary fat on human breast cancer growth and lung metastasis in nude mice. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 83:1491–1495
12. Larsson SC, Kumlin M, Ingelman-Sundberg M, Wolk A (2004) Dietary long-chain n-3 fatty acids for the prevention of cancer: a review of potential mechanisms. *Am J Clin Nutr* 79:935–945
13. Sasaki T, Kobayashi Y, Shimizu J, Wada M, In'nami S, Kanke Y, Takita T (1998) Effects of dietary n-3-to-n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid ratio on mammary carcinogenesis in rats. *Nutr Cancer* 30:137–143. doi:[10.1080/01635589809514653](https://doi.org/10.1080/01635589809514653)
14. Zheng W, Kushi LH, Potter JD, Sellers TA, Doyle TJ, Bostick RM, Folsom AR (1995) Dietary intake of energy and animal foods and endometrial cancer incidence. *Am J Epidemiol* 142:388
15. Cui X, Rosner B, Willett WC, Hankinson SE (2011) Dietary fat, fiber, and carbohydrate intake in relation to risk of endometrial cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. doi:[10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-1089](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-1089)
16. McCann SE, Freudenheim JL, Marshall JR, Brasure JR, Swanson MK, Graham S (2000) Diet in the epidemiology of endometrial cancer in western New York (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 11:965–974
17. Fernandez E, Chatenoud L, La Vecchia C, Negri E, Franceschi S (1999) Fish consumption and cancer risk. *Am J Clin Nutr* 70: 85–90
18. Hirose K, Tajima K, Hamajima N, Takezaki T, Inoue M, Kuroishi T, Kuzuya K, Nakamura S, Tokudome S (1996) Subsite (cervix/endometrium)-specific Risk and Protective Factors in Uterus Cancer. *Cancer Sci* 87:1001–1009
19. Goodman MT, Hankin JH, Wilkens LR, Lyu LC, McDuffie K, Liu LQ, Kolonel LN (1997) Diet, body size, physical activity, and the risk of endometrial cancer. *Cancer Res* 57:5077–5085
20. Levi F, La Vecchia C, Franceschi S, Negri E (1993) Dietary factors and the risk of endometrial cancer. *Cancer* 71:3575–3581. doi:[10.1002/1097-0142\(19930601\)71:11<3575::aid-cnrcr2820711119>3.0.co;2-0](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142(19930601)71:11<3575::aid-cnrcr2820711119>3.0.co;2-0)
21. Bravi F, Scotti L, Bosetti C, Zucchetto A, Talamini R, Montella M, Greggi S, Pelucchi C, Negri E, Franceschi S (2009) Food groups and endometrial cancer risk: a case-control study from Italy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 200:293. e291–293. e297
22. Jain MG, Howe GR, Rohan TE (2000) Nutritional factors and endometrial cancer in Ontario, Canada. *Cancer Control* 7:288–296
23. Xu WH, Dai Q, Xiang YB, Zhao GM, Zheng W, Gao YT, Ruan ZX, Cheng JR, Shu XO (2006) Animal food intake and cooking methods in relation to endometrial cancer risk in Shanghai. *Br J Cancer* 95:1586–1592
24. Shu XO, Zheng W, Potischman N, Brinton LA, Hatch MC, Gao Y-T, Fraumeni JF (1993) A population-based case-control study of dietary factors and endometrial cancer in Shanghai, People's Republic of China. *Am J Epidemiol* 137:155–165
25. Terry P, Wolk A, Vainio H, Weiderpass E (2002) Fatty fish consumption lowers the risk of endometrial cancer: a nationwide case-control study in Sweden. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 11:143–145
26. Tzonou A, Lipworth L, Kalandidi A, Trichopoulou A, Gamatsi I, Hsieh CC, Notara V, Trichopoulos D (1996) Dietary factors and the risk of endometrial cancer: a case-control study in Greece. *Br J Cancer* 73:1284
27. Xu WH, Dai Q, Xiang YB, Zhao GM, Ruan ZX, Cheng JR, Zheng W, Shu XO (2007) Nutritional factors in relation to endometrial cancer: a report from a population based case control study in Shanghai, China. *Int J Cancer* 120:1776–1781
28. Patterson RE, Kristal AR, Tinker LF, Carter RA, Bolton MP, Agurs-Collins T (1999) Measurement characteristics of the Women's Health Initiative food frequency questionnaire. *Ann Epidemiol* 9:178–187
29. Kushi LH, Fee RM, Sellers TA, Zheng W, Folsom AR (1996) Intake of vitamins A, C, and E and postmenopausal breast cancer: the Iowa Women's Health Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 144:165–174
30. Horn-Ross PL, John EM, Lee M, Stewart SL, Koo J, Sakoda LC, Shiao AC, Goldstein J, Davis P, Perez-Stable EJ (2001) Phytoestrogen consumption and breast cancer risk in a multiethnic population. *Am J Epidemiol* 154:434–441. doi:[10.1093/aje/154.5.434](https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/154.5.434)
31. Willett W (1998) *Nutritional epidemiology*. Oxford University Press, New York
32. Jain MG, Rohan TE, Howe GR, Miller AB (2000) A cohort study of nutritional factors and endometrial cancer. *Eur J Epidemiol* 16:899–905
33. Littman A, Beresford S, White E (2001) The association of dietary fat and plant foods with endometrial cancer (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 12:691–702. doi:[10.1023/a:1011292003586](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1011292003586)
34. Meyer BJ, Mann NJ, Lewis JL, Milligan GC, Sinclair AJ, Howe PRC (2003) Dietary intakes and food sources of omega-6 and omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Lipids* 38:391–398
35. Kris-Etherton PM, Harris WS, Appel LJ (2002) Fish consumption, fish oil, omega-3 fatty acids, and cardiovascular disease. *Circulation* 106:2747–2757
36. Bandera EV, Kushi LH, Moore DF, Gifkins DM, McCullough ML (2007) Consumption of animal foods and endometrial cancer risk: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Causes Control* 18:967–988. doi:[10.1007/s10552-007-9038-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-007-9038-0)
37. Rose DP, Connolly JM (1999) Omega-3 fatty acids as cancer chemopreventive agents. *Pharmacol Ther* 83:217–244
38. Judé S, Roger S, Martel E, Besson P, Richard S, Bougnoux P, Champeroux P, Le Guennec JY (2006) Dietary long-chain omega-3 fatty acids of marine origin: a comparison of their protective effects on coronary heart disease and breast cancers. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol* 90:299–325
39. Calder PC (2006) n-3 Polyunsaturated fatty acids, inflammation, and inflammatory diseases. *Am J Clin Nutr* 83:S1505–S1519S
40. Takahashi M, Przetakiewicz M, Ong A, Borek C, Lowenstein JM (1992) Effect of omega 3 and omega 6 fatty acids on transformation of cultured cells by irradiation and transfection. *Cancer Res* 52:154–162
41. Needleman P, Raz A, Minkes MS, Ferrendelli JA, Sprecher H (1979) Triene prostaglandins: prostacyclin and thromboxane biosynthesis and unique biological properties. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 76:944
42. Jump DB (2002) Dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids and regulation of gene transcription. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 13:155
43. Yang P, Chan D, Felix E, Cartwright C, Menter DG, Madden T, Klein RD, Fischer SM, Newman RA (2004) Formation and antiproliferative effect of prostaglandin E3 from eicosapentaenoic acid in human lung cancer cells. *J Lipid Res* 45:1030–1039
44. Calder P, Grimble R (2002) Polyunsaturated fatty acids, inflammation and immunity. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 56:S14
45. Mori Y, Murakawa Y, Katoh S, Hata S, Yokoyama J, Tajima N, Ikeda Y, Nobukata H, Ishikawa T, Shibutani Y (1997) Influence of highly purified eicosapentaenoic acid ethyl ester on insulin resistance in the Otsuka Long-Evans Tokushima Fatty rat, a model of spontaneous non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Metabolism* 46:1458–1464
46. Pérez-Matute P, Pérez-Echarri N, Martínez JA, Martí A, Moreno-Aliaga MJ (2007) Eicosapentaenoic acid actions on adiposity and

- insulin resistance in control and high-fat-fed rats: role of apoptosis, adiponectin and tumour necrosis factor-alpha. *Br J Nutr* 97:389–398
47. Popp-Snijders C, Schouten J, Heine R, Van der Meer J, Van der Veen E (1987) Dietary supplementation of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids improves insulin sensitivity in non-insulin-dependent diabetes. *Diabetes Res* 4:141
48. Weaver KL, Ivester P, Chilton JA, Wilson MD, Pandey P, Chilton FH (2008) The content of favorable and unfavorable polyunsaturated fatty acids found in commonly eaten fish. *J Am Diet Assoc* 108:1178–1185. doi:[10.1016/j.jada.2008.04.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jada.2008.04.023)